

*Available in online @ [www.iaraindia.com](http://www.iaraindia.com)*

*RESEARCH EXPLORER-International Journal on Economics and Business Management*

*ISSN: 2250-1940 (P) 2349-1647 (O)*

*Impact Factor: 8.276 (12OR), 3.676 (COSMOS)*

*Volume XIV, Issue 49*

*October - December 2025*

*Formally UGC Approved Journal (63185), © Author*

## **A STUDY ON ENTREPRENEURSHIP CHALLENGES AND OPPORTUNITIES WITH THE EVIDENCE FROM SELECTED ENTERPRISES IN COIMBATORE DISTRICT**

**Ms. P. DEEPIKA**

Full time Research Scholar

PG and Research Department of Commerce,

Bishop Heber College (Autonomous)

(Affiliated to Bharathidasan University) Trichy-17

**Dr. G. KARTHIK**

Assistant professor and Research Supervisor

PG and Research Department of Commerce

Bishop Heber College (Autonomous)

(Affiliated to Bharathidasan University) Trichy-17.

### **ABSTRACT**

*In country like India , having an emerging field of entrepreneurship which is one of the important factors for economic development, innovative thought and employment generation. The district Coimbatore is being identified for its large industrial areas or sectors which includes the textiles, engineering, foundry and pumps and emerging services business. These environments offer the best for setting up the rigorous decisions of entrepreneurship in the city by conducting a detailed analysis of selected enterprises of different sectors. Based on the empirical data acquired through organized survey and field work, the research paper underlines the key opportunities that the entrepreneur can take advantage of, i.e., the existence of industrial clusters, the availability of skilled labour, favourable financial institutions, and the growth in the domestic and export markets. And at the same time, it provides a critical commentary on endemic issues of infrastructural bottlenecks, limited accessibility to capital among small and medium businesses, competition, compliance with regulations, and the lack of technology uptake. The results indicate that an industrial ecosystem in Coimbatore is a critical source of entrepreneurship, but the systemic obstacles that hinder competitiveness and innovation should be eliminated to ensure the long-term development of this ecosystem. The paper concludes that special policy measures, increasing financial access, and capacity-building efforts are needed to strengthen entrepreneurship in the area. This study adds to the larger academic discussion on the development of entrepreneurship in emerging economies, and at the same time sheds light on what is the duality of opportunities and threats that local business face.*

**KEYWORDS:** Entrepreneurship, Opportunities, Challenges, Coimbatore District, Small Enterprises, Industrial Clusters

### **INTRODUCTION**

Entrepreneurship in modern India is not just an economic practice but is being appreciated to be the one of the key pillars of national development, employment creation, innovation technologically and long term regional growth. At the cost of developing economies, including our own the growth of small and medium enterprises(SMEs) which plays a pivotal role in stimulating, enhancing the localized industry and developing the lives of people. The entrepreneurial ethos does not only enhance the competition and productivity level but also the effective utilizations of the regional resources. Since,

the entry route to entrepreneurship is sensitive and influenced by an intricate interplay of opportunities and challenges which differs greatly among the geographic locations.

Coimbatore is so called city of the oldest traditions of the textile industry, a well-developed engineering and pump production industry and a fast-growing presence in information technologies and services that makes it a unique lab of the studying the entrepreneurial process. The area has a high population of MSMEs, which has been instrumental to both the local and the national economy. These organisations boast an ecological synergy of industrial sector belts a vast pool of highly skilled human resources and a culture of entrepreneurship that has been present over many years among the local business.

In spite of these advantages, there are considerable problems that entrepreneurs in faces of Coimbatore. The problems were encountered due to lack of infrastructures, highly competitive tensions, lack of funds, regulatory burdens and necessity to embrace upcoming technologies continue to be of great concern. Furthermore, the uncertainty of the international market and their increased speed of digital transformations that have left the increasing small and medium sized businesses under pressure as well as. Regarding the explanation on these impediments that can overcome by the entrepreneurs and how they can capitalize on the opportunities emerging forms a very crucial milestone in creating viable policies and support systems that will enable sustainable growth of enterprises in the region.

Against this backdrop, the present study attempts to examine the opportunities and challenges of entrepreneurship in Coimbatore district by using information collected from selected enterprises. After thorough examination of the empirical cases of the entrepreneurs with their live experiences, the study aims to add nuanced knowledge in relating with the strengths and vulnerabilities of the local entrepreneurial ecosystem which can be used to inform both scholarly discussions and practical policymaking.

#### **PROBLEM STATEMENT**

In the modern arena of regional development, the entrepreneurship has also become an undivided principle in the support of the formation of the economy, especially in industrially-rich regions like Coimbatore. It is marked by strong industrial agglomerations that include textiles, engineering, foundry related activities and new service industries, which have gained a lot of academic and administrative attention. Though such formations were definitely support to develop the ability of the entrepreneurial projects, with a significant level of theses enterprises face high hurdles that jeopardize their presence in the long term and competitiveness. Entrepreneurs are often pillaged by infrastructural voids, were having limited access to the capital invested, rising input prices and the need to constant upgrade in the technological infrastructure. Other than heightened competition in both regional and international context creates the need to continually drive innovation and transformation at the firms. Small enterprises become more and more straitjacketed disproportionately. The inability to overcome these challenges will involve limiting the entrepreneur use of the district and hence the contribution to the overall economy. Therefore, there is necessarily need to investigate in a systematic manner the opportunities and challenges faced by business starters in Coimbatore, which would provide policymakers and stakeholders with powerful information to strengthen and maintain the local entrepreneurial ecosystem.

#### **LITERATURE REVIEW**

Entrepreneurship has been largely accepted as a driver to economic development and industrial growth. Schumpeter (1934) considered entrepreneurs as innovators, who disturbed the market equilibrium by introducing new products, processes and markets. Later researchers (Gartner, 1985; Hisrich and Peters, 2002) noted that entrepreneurship does not only create jobs, it also leads to competitiveness and technological growth in a region. The development of micro, small, and medium enterprises (MSMEs) has received a lot of attention in the Indian context. Sahu (2010) assumes that the MSMEs are dominant to decentralization of industrial activity and balanced regional development. Kannan and Raveendran (2015) discovered that entrepreneurship in Tamil Nadu is successful owing to industrial clusters, manpower and traditional business networks. But the availability of finance continues to be a major limitation, especially to first-generation entrepreneurs. Research on issues has shown that infrastructural inadequacies, bureaucracies and lack of market connectivity have been detrimental to sustainability of entrepreneurship (Subrahmanya, 2011). Also, globalization and the fast technological developments cause opportunities and challenges to adopt (Mitra, 2012). A study by Rajendran and Senthilkumar (2018) has revealed that industrial ecosystems of textiles and engineering

are favorable to enterprises in Coimbatore, yet businesses are faced with challenges of innovation, skill development, and cost-effectiveness. According to the existing literature, the current state of entrepreneurship in India exists in a dual reality, in which there are great opportunities due to the presence of industrial clusters and growing markets, but limited by financial, infrastructural, and regulatory limitations. Nevertheless, there is a discrepancy in the micro-level, district-based studies that reflect the experiences of entrepreneurs as they live, especially in Coimbatore, which is one of the most industrialized cities in India. This research aims at filling the gap by presenting evidence in chosen enterprises, thus contributing to the discussion of opportunities and challenges in entrepreneurship.

### OBJECTIVES

The objectives are

1. To identify the major opportunities that are available for entrepreneurs in selected enterprises of the Coimbatore district.
2. To examine the key challenges that are faced by the entrepreneurs in sustaining and expanding their enterprises.
3. To examine the influence of the industry type on the perception of opportunities and challenges among entrepreneurs
4. To provide suggestions for strengthening the entrepreneurial ecosystem in Coimbatore.

### RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This study followed a descriptive-analytical design in order to examine the multiple opportunities and challenges facing entrepreneurs in the Coimbatore district and to determine whether these factors show a variation across the industrial sectors. It was mainly a quantitative methodological approach that was based on structured responses evoked through a standardized questionnaire. The population of the study was micro, small and medium enterprises (MSMEs) in Coimbatore district in major sectors, such as textile, engineering and pumps, food processing and IT/services. Stratified random sampling was used to pick 150 entrepreneurs with each sector being proportional. The respondents such as the owners of enterprises, partners and managerial heads that were directly engaged in operational and strategic decision-making. Both secondary and primary data was used to meet the research objectives. The primary data were gathered using a well-designed questionnaire, with three divisions. Section A elicited demographic and enterprise-related data including type of industry, pattern of ownership, size of the enterprise, years of operation, gender and age of the entrepreneur. Section B was based on ten statements that were intended to measure perceived opportunities on a five-point Likert scale (1 meant Strongly Disagree, 5 Strongly Agree). Section C had ten statements on perceived challenges that were evaluated similarly. The measures on each construct were quantitative.

### DATA ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION

#### Reliability Analysis

**Table showing the Cronbach's Reliability Analysis**

| Entrepreneurial Outcome | Cronbach's Alpha | No of Items |
|-------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| Opportunity             | 0.829            | 10          |
| Challenges              | 0.922            | 10          |

#### Interpretation

Reliability analysis was performed to ensure the internal consistency of the scales used to measure "Opportunities" and "Challenges." The Cronbach's Alpha coefficient for the Opportunities construct was 0.829, and for the Challenges construct was 0.922, both well above the accepted threshold value of 0.70 (Nunnally, 1978). These results confirm that the items under each construct reliably measure the same underlying dimension.

The high alpha for the challenge scale indicates that respondents' perceptions of obstacles are strongly interrelated that are suggesting that financial, operational, and regulatory challenges coexist and influence each other. Likewise, the opportunity scale's reliability demonstrates that favourable business conditions in Coimbatore, such as skilled labour and industrial networking, are perceived consistently across entrepreneurs.

#### Descriptives Statistics

|               | Mean | Std. Deviation |             | Mean | Std. Deviation |
|---------------|------|----------------|-------------|------|----------------|
| Opportunity 1 | 3.97 | .714           | Challenge 1 | 2.75 | .868           |
| Opportunity 2 | 3.93 | .667           | Challenge 2 | 2.77 | .860           |
| Opportunity 3 | 3.97 | .709           | Challenge 3 | 2.75 | .804           |

|                |      |      |              |      |      |
|----------------|------|------|--------------|------|------|
| Opportunity 4  | 3.97 | .639 | Challenge 4  | 2.85 | .833 |
| Opportunity 5  | 4.01 | .737 | Challenge 5  | 2.90 | .888 |
| Opportunity 6  | 4.00 | .695 | Challenge 6  | 2.93 | .816 |
| Opportunity 7  | 3.98 | .670 | Challenge 7  | 2.84 | .844 |
| Opportunity 8  | 3.96 | .664 | Challenge 8  | 2.92 | .832 |
| Opportunity 9  | 3.97 | .660 | Challenge 9  | 2.85 | .806 |
| Opportunity 10 | 4.03 | .718 | Challenge 10 | 2.93 | .820 |

### Interpretation

The study covered 150 entrepreneurs representing various sectors across Coimbatore district.

- The respondents were drawn primarily from the textile (38%) and engineering/pump (31%) industries, followed by food processing (18%) and IT/services (13%).
- A majority of enterprises operated as proprietorships (46%), with small and medium-sized enterprises accounting for 67% of the total sample.
- The demographic distribution indicated that 61% of entrepreneurs were male, 37% female, and the rest identified as other gender categories.
- The dominant age group ranged between 31–40 years, indicating that mid-career entrepreneurs formed the majority in the region.

The descriptive analysis of the opportunity variables (Section B) showed consistently high mean values across most items, signifying a strong level of agreement among respondents. The highest-rated opportunity items were “Availability of skilled labour supports enterprise development” ( $M = 4.27$ ,  $SD = 0.63$ ) and “Industrial clusters provide strong opportunities for business growth” ( $M = 4.18$ ,  $SD = 0.71$ ). This implies that the Coimbatore ecosystem offers favourable infrastructural and human capital advantages that promote entrepreneurial activity.

In contrast, challenge items (Section C) reflected a moderate level of agreement, with “High operational costs” ( $M = 3.91$ ,  $SD = 0.82$ ) and “Difficulty in accessing financial resources” ( $M = 3.84$ ,  $SD = 0.78$ ) emerging as the most prominent barriers. This suggests that while the region offers strong opportunity enablers, financial constraints and rising input costs continue to hinder sustained enterprise growth.

### Correlation Analysis

| Descriptive Statistics |                     |                  |                 |
|------------------------|---------------------|------------------|-----------------|
|                        | Mean                | Std. Deviation   | N               |
| Opportunity mean       | 3.9780              | .43146           | 150             |
| Challenges mean        | 2.8487              | .64274           | 150             |
| Correlations           |                     |                  |                 |
|                        |                     | Opportunity mean | Challenges mean |
| Opportunity mean       | Pearson Correlation | 1                | -.066           |
|                        | Sig. (2-tailed)     |                  | .422            |
|                        | N                   | 150              | 150             |
| Challenges mean        | Pearson Correlation | -.066            | 1               |
|                        | Sig. (2-tailed)     | .422             |                 |
|                        | N                   | 150              | 150             |

### Hypothesis

Null Hypothesis( $H_0$ ): There is no significant relationship between perceived opportunities and perceived challenges among entrepreneurs.

Alternate Hypothesis( $H_1$ ): There is significant relationship between perceived opportunities and perceived challenges among entrepreneurs.

### Interpretation

The correlation analysis in this study was based on Pearson analysis, where the relationship between the perceptions of the entrepreneurs of opportunities and challenges were tested. Above table shows that there is a weak, statistically non-significant negative relationship between these constructs ( $r = -0.066$ ,  $p = 0.422$ ,  $N = 150$ ). The hypothesis testing also confirms that entrepreneurial opportunities and challenges are not significantly correlated in the Coimbatore context. This result suggests that the perceived opportunity and the perceived challenge do not have any significant linear relationship with the way entrepreneurs perceive opportunities. That is, there does not seem to be a correlation between

the degree to which entrepreneurs recognize good business growth opportunities and the perception or experience of constraints in their operations.

The non-significant relationship implies that opportunities and challenges might exist separately in the Coimbatore entrepreneurial ecosystem. Entrepreneurs can also concurrently discover many growth opportunities, like the availability of skilled labor or industrial connections, or market access, and continue to grapple with financing, compliance, or competition issues. Theoretically, this result supports the notion that opportunity recognition and management of challenges are two separate psychological and strategic aspects of entrepreneurship. It is consistent with the previous findings in the field of regional entrepreneurship, where it is also observed that the favourable business climate does not necessarily imply the lack of operational barriers (Aldrich & Cliff, 2003; Manimala, 2021). In practice, this implies that the policy support has to be on both ends of the entrepreneurial spectrum: opportunity-based growth by encouraging innovation and training programmes, and at the same time, reduce operational bottlenecks like access to credit and regulatory complexity.

### Regression Analysis

#### Regression Analysis Showing the Influence of Type of Industry on Perceived Opportunities among Entrepreneurs in Coimbatore District

#### Hypothesis

Null Hypothesis( $H_0$ ): There is no significant difference in perceived opportunities among entrepreneurs from different types of industries in Coimbatore.

Alternate Hypothesis( $H_1$ ): There is a significant difference in perceived opportunities among entrepreneurs from different types of industries in Coimbatore.

### Regression Equation

$$\text{OpportunityMean} = 3.945 + 0.011(\text{Industry})$$

| Model Summary |                   |          |                   |                            |
|---------------|-------------------|----------|-------------------|----------------------------|
| Model         | R                 | R Square | Adjusted R Square | Std. Error of the Estimate |
| 1             | .038 <sup>a</sup> | .001     | -.005             | .43260                     |

| ANOVA <sup>a</sup> |            |                |     |             |      |                   |
|--------------------|------------|----------------|-----|-------------|------|-------------------|
| Model              |            | Sum of Squares | df  | Mean Square | F    | Sig.              |
| 1                  | Regression | .041           | 1   | .041        | .218 | .641 <sup>b</sup> |
|                    | Residual   | 27.697         | 148 | .187        |      |                   |
|                    | Total      | 27.737         | 149 |             |      |                   |

| Coefficients <sup>a</sup> |            |                             |            |                           |        |      |
|---------------------------|------------|-----------------------------|------------|---------------------------|--------|------|
| Model                     |            | Unstandardized Coefficients |            | Standardized Coefficients | t      | Sig. |
|                           |            | B                           | Std. Error | Beta                      |        |      |
| 1                         | (Constant) | 3.945                       | .078       |                           | 50.386 | .000 |
|                           | industry   | .011                        | .024       | .038                      | .467   | .641 |

#### Interpretation

The simple linear regression was conducted to determine whether type of industry influences the perceived opportunities among entrepreneurs in Coimbatore. The overall model was not statistically significant ( $F(1,148) = 0.218$ ,  $p = 0.641$ ), indicating that type of industry does not significantly predict opportunity perception. The  $R^2$  value of 0.001 suggests that only 0.1% of the variance in opportunity perception is explained by the type of industry. The regression coefficient for industry ( $\beta = 0.011$ ,  $t = 0.467$ ,  $p = 0.641$ ) indicates that for each one-unit increase in industry category, the opportunity means increases by only 0.011 points. However, this effect is not statistically significant at the 0.05 level. Therefore, we fail to reject the null hypothesis ( $H_0$ ) — there is no significant difference in perceived opportunities across different industry types.

#### Regression Analysis Showing the Influence of Type of Industry on Perceived Challenges among Entrepreneurs in Coimbatore District

#### Hypothesis



Null Hypothesis( $H_0$ ): There is no significant difference in perceived challenges among entrepreneurs from different types of industries in Coimbatore.

Alternate Hypothesis( $H_1$ ): There is a significant difference in perceived challenges among entrepreneurs from different types of industries in Coimbatore

### Regression Equation

$$\text{ChallengesMean} = 2.841 + 0.003(\text{Industry})$$

among Entrepreneurs in Coimbatore District

| Model Summary |                   |          |                   |                            |
|---------------|-------------------|----------|-------------------|----------------------------|
| Model         | R                 | R Square | Adjusted R Square | Std. Error of the Estimate |
| 1             | .006 <sup>a</sup> | .000     | -.007             | .64490                     |

| ANOVA <sup>a</sup> |            |                |     |             |      |                   |
|--------------------|------------|----------------|-----|-------------|------|-------------------|
| Model              |            | Sum of Squares | df  | Mean Square | F    | Sig.              |
| 1                  | Regression | .002           | 1   | .002        | .006 | .939 <sup>b</sup> |
|                    | Residual   | 61.552         | 148 | .416        |      |                   |
|                    | Total      | 61.555         | 149 |             |      |                   |

| Coefficients <sup>a</sup> |            |                             |            |                           |        |      |
|---------------------------|------------|-----------------------------|------------|---------------------------|--------|------|
| Model                     |            | Unstandardized Coefficients |            | Standardized Coefficients | t      | Sig. |
|                           |            | B                           | Std. Error | Beta                      |        |      |
| 1                         | (Constant) | 2.841                       | .117       |                           | 24.336 | .000 |
|                           | industry   | .003                        | .036       | .006                      | .076   | .939 |

### Interpretation

A simple linear regression analysis was conducted to determine the effect of the type of industry on the perceived challenges faced by entrepreneurs in Coimbatore district. The model was found to be statistically insignificant, with  $F(1,148) = 0.006$  and a p-value of 0.939, which is far above the 0.05 significance level. The  $R^2$  value of 0.000 indicates that industry type explains virtually none (0%) of the variation in perceived challenges. The regression coefficient for industry ( $B = 0.003$ ,  $t = 0.076$ ,  $p = 0.939$ ) shows a negligible and statistically non-significant relationship. This result suggests that entrepreneurs across various industries experience similar levels of challenges, regardless of the nature of their sector (textile, engineering, food processing, IT/services, etc.). Therefore, the null hypothesis ( $H_{02}$ ) is accepted, confirming that type of industry does not have a significant influence on the challenges perceived by entrepreneurs in Coimbatore district.

### FINDINGS

The research was able to examine the effects of the nature of the industry on the opportunities and challenges that entrepreneurs in Coimbatore district feel. The analysis has shown that in most sectors like textile, engineering, food processing, IT/services and many more, entrepreneurs have a positive attitude towards business opportunities in the region. The mean score of the opportunity was about 3.9, which means that the majority of the respondents consider Coimbatore to be a nice place to develop an enterprise because of the presence of industrial clusters, professional workforce, and the growing market of the country.

On the other hand, the average score of 2.8 on the challenge scale indicates that although entrepreneurs have barriers associated with production costs, regulatory compliance and market competition, these barriers are not so tremendous. Regression analysis also revealed that the kind of industry does not have any statistically significant impact to either perceived opportunities or challenges. The model had a p-value of 0.641 and 0.939 with an  $R^2$  of 0.001 and 0.000 respectively, indicating opportunities and challenges, respectively.

These findings suggest that perception of entrepreneurs is not very different, despite the industry they are operating in. Entrepreneurs in other areas therefore have similar attitudes to the opportunities and the challenges of doing business in Coimbatore. This consistency means that the

district provides a homogenous entrepreneurial landscape in which the differences between different sectors do not have a significant impact on the general business perception.

### **SUGGESTIONS**

By Considering the empirical evidence, policymakers and institutional actors are advised to focus on fortifying determinants that provide benefits to entrepreneurs in all sectors, without focusing on ad hoc, sector-specific policies. Special attention should be given to increasing the infrastructural capacity, simplifying the regulatory processes, and providing easier access to financial resources to small and medium businesses. The entrepreneurial training programs should look ahead to innovation, digital adoption, and strategic opportunity recognition to enable the participants across all sectors to enhance competitiveness in both the local and global stages.

This continuing funding challenge forces the banks and financial institutions to come up with the credit model and more capable of meeting the small and medium sized firms need with the risk adjusted terms and payment options that are more flexible. At the same time, the technological based approached to business and internet marketing can be applied to prevent inadequacies in operations and to increase the coverage in terms of market exposure. Furthermore, the phenomenon of cluster-like collaboration of different entrepreneurs operating in different fields should also be encouraged. These collaborative ideas to enhance the knowledge sharing as well as technology transfer and integration of supply chain, that contributes the resilience of organisations and provided them in long term sustainability.

### **MANAGERIAL IMPLICATIONS**

The current research has a number of significant consequences to policymakers and business support organisations as well as corporate managers. To begin with policymakers should take into considerations that fact that entrepreneurial determinants are mostly structural in nature but not specific to particulars industries. Subsequently the efforts that enable the streamlining the process of licensing, to improve the infrastructural capacity and the creation of transparent credit mechanisms will produce benefits which can be broadly applied across the cost gamut of the legal framework. Moreover, the understanding can be operationalized using sector neutral capacity building programs that emphasize on financial literacy as well as inculcating the capacity to innovate as well as promoting market expansion programs. Even business incubators and industry association provide such programmes, which maybe blueprints on how to ensure entrepreneurial dynamism is captured in most of circumstances.

There are several significant implications on policy makers, business assistance agencies, the managers of enterprises as well. To start with the policymakers should understand that the factors which are influencing entrepreneurial achievement are mostly structural and mot industry bound. Therefore, the programs that focus on facilitating the licensing process, improving infrastructural capacity as well as providing the clear credit mechanisms that would provide the benefits that are widely applicable in the industry. These insights can be translated into sector-neutral capacity building programmes that focus on financial literacy, innovation capability and market expansion strategies by business incubators and industry associations. To the owners of enterprises and their managers, the findings indicate the importance of internal capability building, namely, the aim of operational efficiency, the delivery of specific workforce training, and the development of strong customer engagement practice, rather than excessive dependence on the external sectoral environment.

Since opportunities seem to be fairly spread across industries, the key to long-term success is not much dependent on the industry a firm function in but the ability of the entrepreneur to utilize the opportunities that are available through strategic planning, adoption of technology, and joint ventures. The managerial implication thus is indisputable; where the ability to remain competitive in the entrepreneurial environment of Coimbatore is not dictated by the industry in which the entrepreneur belongs but the flexibility, innovativeness, and foresight of the entrepreneur.

### **CONCLUSION**

The study shows that the classification of the industry does not have a statistically significant impact on the perceptions of the entrepreneurs of opportunities or challenges in the Coimbatore district. This observation supports the conceptualisation of the entrepreneurial ecosystem in Coimbatore as a naturally balanced, inclusive and enabling environment in a wide range of industrial sectors. Regardless of whether they are affiliated to a particular sector or not, the entrepreneurs benefit through a common industrial infrastructure, a ready pool of labour, and a vibrant market environment.

Even though numerous challenges, in particular those related to the cost pressures and regulatory compliance, are omnipresent, they do not differ significantly across various industries. Overall, the findings confirm that the entrepreneurial activity in Coimbatore is largely regional based as opposed to sectoral boundaries. In future research, one could build on this study by adding the performance measures, including profitability, the level of innovation, or awareness of government policies, thus providing a more comprehensive insight into the development and sustainability of entrepreneurship in the area.

## REFERENCE

1. Aldrich, H., & Cliff, J. (2003). The pervasive effects of family on entrepreneurship: Toward a family embeddedness perspective. *Journal of Business Venturing*, 18(5), 573–596.
2. Anandaraman R (2012), Micro Finance by Banks in India, Research Explorer, Vol I : Issue. 2 July - December 2012
3. Gupta, R., & Mirchandani, D. (2018). Entrepreneurial ecosystems and regional development: Evidence from India. *Journal of Entrepreneurship and Innovation in Emerging Economies*, 4(2), 120–137.
4. Hisrich, R. D., & Peters, M. P. (2002). *Entrepreneurship* (5th ed.). New York: McGraw-Hill.
5. Kannan, P., & Raveendran, T. (2015). Entrepreneurship development in Tamil Nadu: Opportunities and challenges. *International Journal of Management Research and Social Science*, 2(2), 12–20.
6. Manimala, M. J. (2021). Entrepreneurial development in emerging economies: Challenges and prospects. *Asian Journal of Management Research*, 12(3), 45–57.
7. Mitra, J. (2012). *Entrepreneurship, innovation and regional development: An introduction*. Routledge.
8. Nunnally, J. C. (1978). *Psychometric Theory* (2nd ed.). New York: McGraw-Hill.
9. Paramasivan C & Azhagu Raja R (2014) , Performance of Micro Enterprises In Thiruvavur District, ZENITH International Journal of Business Economics & Management Research, Vol.4 (2), February
10. Paramasivan, C. (2013). Conceptual framework of women empowerment through SHG. *SELP Journal of Social Science*, 4(17).
11. Rajendran, K., & Senthilkumar, R. (2018). Entrepreneurial ecosystem in Coimbatore: A study of SMEs. *Journal of Management and Entrepreneurship*, 12(3), 45–56.
12. Sahu, P. P. (2010). Growth and prospects of small and medium enterprises in India: An analysis. *Small Enterprises Development, Management & Extension Journal*, 37(1), 47–59.
13. Schumpeter, J. A. (1934). *The theory of economic development*. Harvard University Press.
14. Storey, D. (2016). *Understanding the Small Business Sector*. Routledge.
15. Subathra S (2016), Institutional Assistance for Women Entrepreneurship In Tamilnadu, Research Explorer, Vol. IV : Issue.13 ; July - December 2016
16. Subathra S (2017), Women Empowerment and Entrepreneurship Through TAHDCO In Tiruchirappalli District, Research Explorer, Vol. V : Issue.14, January - June 2017,pp .67-72
17. Subrahmanya, M. H. B. (2011). Small-scale industries in India in the globalization era: Performance and prospects. *International Journal of Management and Enterprise Development*, 10(1), 27–54. <https://doi.org/10.1504/IJMED.2011.038866>