Available online @ www.iaraindia.com
SELP Journal of Social Science - A Blind Review & Refereed Quarterly Journal
ISSN: 0975-9999 (P) 2349-1655 (O) Impact Factor: 3.655 (CIF), 2.78(IRJIF), 2.5(JIF), 2.77(NAAS)
Volume XVI, Issue 62, July - September 2025
Formally UGC Approved Journal (46622), © Author

EMPLOYEE ENGAGEMENT AS A DRIVER OF ORGANIZATIONAL PERFORMANCE: AN HRM PERSPECTIVE

DIVYA A P
Ph.D. Research Scholar (Part-time)
Department of Commerce
Vels Institute of Science Technology and Advanced Studies (VISTAS)
Pallavaram, Chennai.

Dr. K. KALAISELVI
Assistant Professor of Commerce
Vels Institute of Science Technology and Advanced Studies (VISTAS)
Pallavaram, Chennai.

ABSTRACT

This study examines the relationship between employee engagement and organizational performance, focusing on how intrinsic motivation, leadership support, work-life balance, and recognition practices contribute to employee productivity and retention. Using a mixed-methods approach, data were collected from 160 employees across public and private organizations using a structured Likert-scale questionnaire. Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA) and Structural Equation Modelling (SEM) were used to validate constructs and analyze causal relationships. Results indicate that leadership support and recognition practices significantly enhance engagement, which directly predicts organizational commitment and productivity. Work-life balance acts as a partial mediator, while organizational culture moderates the engagement–performance link. The study provides actionable insights for HR managers to develop employee-centric strategies that foster sustainable performance outcomes.

KEYWORDS: Employee Engagement, Human Resource Management, Work-Life Balance, Recognition Practices, Leadership Support, Organizational Performance, SEM, Factor Analysis.

INTRODUCTION

Employee engagement has emerged as a critical dimension of strategic human resource management (HRM). Engaged employees demonstrate higher productivity, lower turnover, and improved job satisfaction, creating a competitive advantage for organizations. Traditional HR practices have evolved from transactional approaches to integrated strategies that emphasize people-centric leadership, recognition, and work-life integration. In India's evolving corporate landscape, especially within knowledge-intensive sectors, organizations face a dual challenge: maintaining high performance while ensuring employee well-being. This study investigates how key HR practices influence engagement and, in turn, drive organizational performance.

RESEARCH OBJECTIVES

- To examine the impact of leadership support, recognition practices, and work-life balance on employee engagement.
- To evaluate how employee engagement influences organizational commitment and productivity.
- To analyze the mediating role of work-life balance and the moderating effect of organizational culture.

STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

Despite widespread acknowledgment of the importance of employee engagement, many organizations struggle to operationalize it as a strategic driver. HR policies often emphasize compliance over empowerment, resulting in inconsistent engagement levels. Empirical studies in Indian workplaces rarely use advanced modeling (like SEM) to quantify how leadership, recognition, and work-life integration collectively influence performance outcomes. This research addresses that gap.

RESEARCH GAP

Existing HRM literature largely focuses on individual engagement drivers (e.g., leadership or rewards) but seldom evaluates them in an integrated framework using robust statistical methods. Limited evidence exists on how organizational culture moderates engagement–performance relationships in emerging economies like India.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

- Leadership Support and Engagement – **Saks (2017)** highlights that transformational leadership improves employee engagement through empowerment and clear communication.
- Recognition and Retention – **Brun and Dugas (2008)** found that recognition systems increase intrinsic motivation, reducing attrition rates.
- Work-Life Balance as Mediator – **Hill et al. (2010)** report that balance initiatives enhance employee well-being, improving commitment and discretionary effort.
- Organizational Culture and Performance – **Denison (2012)** demonstrated that supportive cultures moderate HR practice effectiveness by aligning values with employee expectations.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

- **Design:** Mixed-methods with a dominant quantitative approach.
- **Sample:** 160 employees from IT, manufacturing, and public sector units.
- **Instrument:** 30-item structured questionnaire (5-point Likert scale).
- **Constructs:** Leadership Support, Recognition Practices, Work-Life Balance, Employee Engagement, Organizational Commitment, Productivity, Organizational Culture.
- **Reliability Tests**: Cronbach's alpha for internal consistency.
- **Data Analysis:** EFA to identify latent factors, followed by SEM for hypothesis testing using AMOS.

DATA ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION

Sample Profile

Gender: 55% male, 45% female

Age: 22–50 years (Mean = 32.8, SD = 7.4)

Experience: 40% with >5 years in current organization

1. Descriptive Statistics of Key Constructs

Construct	Mean	SD	Interpretation
Leadership Support	4.12	0.68	Managers are perceived as supportive
Recognition Practices	4.20	0.60	Recognition systems rated highly
Work-Life Balance	3.95	0.75	Balance moderately achieved
Employee Engagement	4.25	0.58	Engagement levels are strong
Organizational Commitment	4.18	0.62	High intention to stay
Productivity	4.10	0.66	Employees report improved performance

2. Reliability Analysis (Cronbach's Alpha)

Construct	α Value	Interpretation
Leadership Support	0.88	Highly reliable
Recognition Practices	0.86	Good reliability
Work-Life Balance	0.89	Highly reliable

Employee Engagement	0.91	Excellent consistency
Organizational Commitment	0.90	Excellent consistency
Productivity	0.87	Highly reliable

3. Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA)

KMO: 0.92 (sampling adequacy superb)

Bartlett's Test: $p < 0.001$ (factors appropriate)

Factors Extracted: 6 clear latent constructs

Item Loadings: >0.70 (strong convergence)

4. Structural Equation Modelling (SEM) Results

Model Hypotheses and Path Coefficients:

Pathway	β	p-value	Inference
Leadership ➜ Engagement	0.70	<0.001	Strong positive effect
Recognition ➜ Engagement	0.65	<0.001	Significant positive effect
Work-Life Balance ➜ Engagement	0.62	<0.001	Positive mediator
Engagement ➜ Organizational Commitment	0.74	<0.001	Very strong predictor
Engagement ➜ Productivity	0.68	<0.001	Direct performance impact
Culture × Engagement ➜ Performance	0.28	<0.05	Culture moderates engagement effect

Model Fit Indices:

RMSEA = 0.046, CFI = 0.958, TLI = 0.951 → Excellent fit.

KEY FINDINGS

- Leadership and recognition strongly enhance engagement ($\beta > 0.65$).
- Engaged employees demonstrate higher commitment and productivity ($\beta > 0.68$).
- Work-life balance partially mediates engagement effects, improving satisfaction.
- Organizational culture moderates performance outcomes, emphasizing the need for value alignment.
- All scales demonstrated excellent reliability and clear factor structure.

STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS

HR managers must prioritize supportive leadership training and recognition programs.

Work-life balance initiatives (flexible schedules, remote work options) enhance engagement.

Culture-building programs ensure that organizational values align with employee expectations, reinforcing performance outcomes.

Regular engagement audits using SEM-based tools provide data-driven insights for continuous improvement.

CONCLUSION

The study confirms that employee engagement is a powerful driver of organizational commitment and productivity. Leadership support, recognition, and work-life integration form the foundation of effective HRM strategies. By adopting a culture-sensitive approach, organizations can ensure sustainable performance outcomes and improved employee retention.

SUGGESTIONS

- **Structured Recognition Programs**: Introduce transparent reward mechanisms.
- **Leadership Development:** Focus on empathetic and transformational leadership skills.
- **Flexible Work Practices:** Strengthen policies supporting remote and hybrid work models.
- **Continuous Engagement Measurement:** Use advanced analytics to track changes over time.
- **Culture Alignment Workshops:** Regularly reinforce organizational values to employees.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Brun, J.P., & Dugas, N. (2008). An analysis of employee recognition. International Journal of Human Resource Management, 19(4), 716–730.

2. Denison, D.R. (2012). Corporate culture and organizational effectiveness. Wiley.
3. Hill, E.J., et al. (2010). Workplace flexibility, work-life balance, and engagement. Human Relations, 63(1), 1–24.
4. Paramasivan, C. (2013). Conceptual framework of women empowerment through SHG. SELP Journal of Social Science, 4(17), 28-35.
5. Saks, A.M. (2017). Translating employee engagement research into practice. Organizational Dynamics, 46(2), 76–86.
6. Subathra S (2016), Institutional Assistance For Women Entrepreneurship In Tamilnadu, Research Explorer, Vol. IV : Issue.13 ; July - December 2016.